arXiv:1208.5248v2 [math.DS] 30 Aug 2012

MEAN DIMENSION & JAWORSKI-TYPE THEOREMS

YONATAN GUTMAN

Abstract. According to the celebrated Jaworski Theorem, a finite dimensional aperiodic dynamical system (X, T) embeds in the 1-dimensional cubical shift $([0,1]^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$. If X admits periodic points (still assuming $dim(X) < \infty$) then we show in this paper that periodic dimension $perdim(X, T) < \frac{d}{2}$ implies that (X, T) embeds in the d-dimensional cubical shift $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$. This verifies a conjecture by Lindenstrauss and Tsukamoto for finite dimensional systems. Moreover for an infinite dimensional dynamical system, with the same periodic dimension assumption, the set of periodic points can be equivariantly immersed in $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$. Furthermore we introduce a notion of markers for general topological dynamical systems, and use a generalized version of the Bonatti-Crovisier Tower Theorem, to show that an extension (X, T) of an aperiodic finite-dimensional system whose mean dimension obeys $mdim(X, T) < \frac{d}{16}$ embeds in the $(d+1)$-cubical shift $(([0,1]^{d+1})^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$.

1. Introduction

The classical Theorem of Jaworski (1974) is usually stated in the following way: a finite-dimensional aperiodic (invertible) topological dynamical system can be equivariantly embedded in the 1-*dimensional cubical shift* $([0,1]^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$. However a careful reading of Jaworski's PhD thesis reveals that Jaworski actually proved a slightly stronger statement: one can replace the assumption of no periodic points with the requirement of no periodic

---

Date: August 31, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A35, 54F45.

Key words and phrases. Mean dimension, periodic dimension, Jaworski's Theorem, cubical shift, marker property.

points of order less or equal to $(4n+3)^2$ (where n is the dimension of the system). One may wonder if this constant is best possible. Indeed it is pointed out by Coornaert (2005), that using Jaworski's original argument, it is enough to assume there are no periodic points of order less or equal to $6n$. One may try to find the optimal constant (it turns out to be $2n$) but it soon becomes clear this is not the right way to recast Jaworski's Theorem. Instead, it is better to consider the obstruction to embedding due to the set of periodic points in its totality. Our first theorem is the statement that if X is finite-dimensional and $perdim(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ (see definition in Subsection 2.4), then (X,T) embeds in $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$. It is an old result due to Flores (1935) that one can find metric compacta X_d of dimension d which do not embed in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Considering the trivial action $Id : X_d \to X_d$, we see there exists systems with $perdim(X_d, Id) = d$ which cannot be embedded in $(([0,1]^{2d})^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$. The constant in the theorem is thus optimal. The new theorem is a particular case of a conjecture by Lindenstrauss and Tsukamoto (2012): If $mdim(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ and $perdim(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ then (X,T) embeds in $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$. While we cannot report any progress in establishing this theorem in its totality, we have been able to prove what can be dubbed "the easy half" of the conjecture: namely if $perdim(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ then one can find a morphism $\phi : (X,T) \to (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ so that for $P = \bigcup_m P_m$, the set of all periodic points, $\phi_{|P} : (P_m, T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ is an immersion, i.e. an injective continuous mapping.

Another direction for which Jaworski's Theorem lends itself for generalization is replacing the requirement of the phase space X being finite dimensional by the condition of possessing a finite dimensional factor. This returns to Lindenstrauss' ingenious theorem (1999), stating that an extension of an aperiodic minimal system with $mdim(X,T) < \frac{d}{36}$ embeds in

$(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$. We show that an extension of an aperiodic finite dimensional system with $mdim(X,T) < \frac{d}{16}$ embeds in $(([0,1]^{d+1})^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$. In order to prove this theorem we introduce for general dynamical systems the notion of a *marker*, well known in the setting of zero dimensional systems, since the fundamental work of Krieger (1982). The key step is the statement the aperiodic finite dimensional systems admit markers. Surprisingly this is achieved by a certain generalization of the Tower Theorem of Bonatti-Crovisier (2004).

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Jérôme Buzzi, Sylvain Crovisier, Tomasz Downarowicz, Misha Gromov, Mariusz Lemańczyk, Elon Lindenstrauss, Julien Melleray, Michał Rams and Benjamin Weiss for helpful discussions. Special thanks to Tomasz Downarowicz and the Wrocław University of Technology for hosting me for numerous times during the time I was working on this article. I am grateful to Hanfeng Li and Masaki Tsukamoto for sending me comments on an earlier version.

2. Preliminaries

We expand on some of the notions appearing in the Introduction:

2.1. Embedding Dimension.

Let X be a metric compact space and $T: X \to X$ a homeomorphism. For the topological dynamical system (t.d.s) (X,T), define:

$$edim(X,T) = \min\{d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty | (X,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$$

This is the minimal d such that there is a continuous equivariant embedding of (X,T) into the shift on the d-cube, the *d-dimensional cubical shift.* Notice that any compact metric space can be embedded in $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ which implies (X,T) is naturally embedded in $(([0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$, hence $edim(X,T)$ is well defined.

2.2. **Generating Functions.** The embedding dimension can be understood as a topological dynamical analogue to some concepts used in measured dynamics. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ be an (invertible) measure preserving system. A measurable function $P : X \to \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ is said to be a *generating partition* if the $I_P(x) \triangleq (P(T^k(x)))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $x \in X$, almost surely separates points. Similarly let us call a continuous function $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ *generating* if $I_f(x) \triangleq (f(T^k(x)))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $x \in X$, separates points. Note that $d = edim(X, T)$ is the minimal d such there exists a generating function $f : X \to [0,1]^d$. By Krieger's Generator Theorem ([Kri70]) if $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ is ergodic and $h_\mu(T) < \log(d)$ then there exists a generating partition with d elements.

2.3. **Dimension.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the collection of open (finite) covers of X. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ define its *order* by $ord(\alpha) = \max_{x\in X} \sum_{U\in\alpha} 1_U(x) - 1$. Let $D(\alpha) = \min_{\beta\succ\alpha} ord(\beta)$ (where β *refines* α, $\beta \succ \alpha$, if for every $V \in \beta$, there is $U \in \alpha$ so that $V \subset U$). The Lebesgue covering dimension is defined by $dim(X) = \sup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{C}} D(\alpha)$. According to the Menger-Nöbling Theorem ([HW41], Theorem V.2), a compact metric space of dimension d embeds in $[0,1]^{2d+1}$.

2.4. **Periodic Dimension.** Let P_m denote the set of points of period $\leq m$. Introduce the infinite vector $\overrightarrow{perdim}(X, T) = \big(\frac{dim(P_m)}{m}\big)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$. This vector is clearly a topological dynamical invariant. Let $d > 0$. Denote by $\vec{d} = (d, d, \ldots)$ the infinite constant vector. We write $\overrightarrow{perdim}(X, T) < \vec{d}$ (respectively $\overrightarrow{perdim}(X, T) \leq \vec{d}$), or more simply $perdim(X, T) < d$ (respectively $perdim(X, T) \leq d$), if for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\overrightarrow{perdim}(X, T)|_m < d$ (respectively $\overrightarrow{perdim}(X, T)|_m \leq d$). If X is finite dimensional, one can simplify matters by redefining $perdim(X, T) = \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{dim(P_m)}{m}$, the point being that $perdim(X,T) < d$ and $perdim(X,T) \leq d$ have exactly the same meaning as perviously. It is easy to see that $perdim(X,T) \leq edim(X,T)$ for any t.d.s (X,T).

2.5. **Mean Dimension.** Define:

$$mdim(X,T) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{D(\alpha^n)}{n}$$

where $\alpha^n = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\alpha$. Mean dimension was introduced by Gromov [Gro99] and systematically investigated by Lindenstrauss and Weiss in [LW00]. It is easy to see $edim(X,T) \geq mdim(X,T)$ for any t.d.s (X,T).

Remark 2.5.1. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $mdim(X,T) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{D(\alpha^{n+k})}{n}$.

2.6. **Lindenstrauss-Tsukamoto Embedding Conjecture.** According to Conjecture 1.2 of [LT12] it should hold for all t.d.s (X,T) that if $mdim(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ and $perdim(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$, then $edim(X,T) \leq d$. This can be compared to Krieger's Embedding Theorem in Symbolic Dynamics ([Kri82]): If (X,T) and (Y,S) are irreducible shifts of finite type so that there exists an embedding $(Per(X),T) \hookrightarrow (Per(Y),S)$ and $h_{top}(X,T) < h_{top}(Y,S)$, then there exists an embedding $(X,T) \hookrightarrow (Y,S)$. By Flores' construction ([Flo35]), as explained in the Introduction, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are finite dimensional t.d.s (X_n,T) for which $2n+1 = edim(X_n,T) > 2perdim(X_n,T) = 2n$. By subsection 1.8 of [Gut11], for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are t.d.s (Y_n,T) such that $2n+1 = edim(Y_n,T) > 2mdim(Y_n,T) = 2n$. It is easy to see that for these examples it also holds $perdim(Y_n,T) = n$. Remarkably by Theorem 1.3 of [LT12], for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are *minimal* t.d.s (Z_n,T) for which $edim(Z_n,T) > 2mdim(Y_n,T) = n$.

2.7. **Jaworski's Theorems.** Jaworski's PhD Thesis ([Jaw74]) is the first attempt to bound $edim(X,T)$. Jaworski showed that if $dim(X) = n$ and

$P_{(4n+3)^2} = \emptyset$, then $edim(X,T) = 1$. Coornaert ([Coo05], Chapter 8, Exercise 10) noted that it is enough to assume $P_{6n} = \emptyset$. In addition Jaworski proved that if X is a differentiable manifold of dimension n and $T : X \to X$ is a C^r-diffeomorphism ($r \geq 1$). Then every equivariant C^r-embedding of $(P_{(2n+1)^2}, T)$ in $(([0,1])^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ extends to an equivariant C^r-embedding of (X,T) in $(([0,1])^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$. In the literature the name Jaworski's Theorem has been attached to Theorem 9 in Chapter 13 of [Aus88]: An aperiodic finite dimensional t.d.s (X,T) has $edim(X,T) = 1$.

2.8. **Some Known Embedding Theorems.** in [Lin99] Lindenstrauss proved that $edim(X,T) \leq \lfloor 36 mdim(X,T) \rfloor + 1$ for (X,T) an extension of an aperiodic minimal t.d.s. In [Gut12] it is shown one obtains the same bound if (X,T) an extension of either an aperiodic t.d.s with a countable number of minimal subsystems or an aperiodic finite-dimensional t.d.s. In [GT12] the Lindenstrauss-Tsukamoto Conjecture is verified for extensions of aperiodic subshifts (subsystems of $\big(\{1,2,\ldots,l\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift}\big)$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$). In [Gut11] it was shown that for $\mathbb{Z}^k$-actions ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) there exist constants $C(k) > 0$ so that if $(\mathbb{Z}^k, X)$ is an extension a $\mathbb{Z}^k$ zero dimensional t.d.s then $edim((\mathbb{Z}^k, X) \leq \lfloor C(k) mdim(X,T) \rfloor + 1$.

2.9. **The Baire Category Theorem Framework.** All results mentioned in the previous subsection were proven through the Baire Category Theorem. The same is true for the results in this article so we now introduce the basic concepts of this technique. A continuous mapping $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ induces a continuous $\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant mapping $I_f : (X,T) \to (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ given by $x \mapsto (f(T^k x))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, also known as the *orbit-map*. Conversely, any $\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant continuous factor map $\pi : (X,T) \to (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ is induced in this way by $\pi_0 : X \to [0,1]^d$, the projection on the zeroth coordinate. It is therefore useful to study the space of continuous functions

$C(X,[0,1]^d)$. Under suitable assumptions, instead of explicitly constructing a $f \in C(X,[0,1]^d)$ so that $I_f : (X,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ is an embedding, one shows that the property of being an embedding $I_f : (X,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ is *generic* in $C(X,[0,1]^d)$ (but without exhibiting an explicit embedding). To make this precise introduce the following definition:

Definition 2.9.1. Let $K \subset (X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ ($\Delta = \{(x,x) | \, x \in X\}$ denotes the *diagonal* of $X \times X$) and $f \in C(X,[0,1]^d)$. We say that I_f is K**-compatible** if for every $(x,y) \in K$, $I_f(x) \neq I_f(y)$, or equivalently if for every $(x,y) \in K$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $f(T^n x) \neq f(T^n y)$. Define:

$$D_K = \{f \in C(X,[0,1]^d) | \, I_f \text{ is K} - \text{compatible}\}$$

Under suitable assumptions one shows that for every $(x,y) \in (X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ there is an open $U \subset \overline{U} \subset (X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ with $(x,y) \in U$ so that $D_{\overline{U}}$ is open and dense in $(C(X,[0,1]^d), ||\cdot||_\infty)$ ($||\cdot||_\infty$ denotes the the supremum metric, $||f-g||_\infty \triangleq sup_{x\in X}||f(x)-g(x)||_\infty$). As $X \times X$ is second-countable, every subspace is Lindelöf, i.e. every open cover has a countable subcover. One can therefore cover $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ with a countable closed cover $\overline{U}_1, \overline{U}_2, \ldots$ so that $D_{\overline{U}_m}$ is open and dense in $(C(X,[0,1]^d), ||\cdot||_\infty)$ for all m. By the Baire Category Theorem $(C(X,[0,1]^d), ||\cdot||_\infty)$, is a *Baire space*, i.e., a topological space where the intersection of countably many dense open sets is dense. This implies $\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty D_{\overline{U}_m}$ is dense in $(C(X,[0,1]^d), ||\cdot||_\infty)$. Any $f \in \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty D_{\overline{U}_m}$ is $\overline{U}_m$-compatible for all m simultaneously and therefore realizes an embedding $I_f : (X,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$. A set in a topological space is said to be *comeagre* if it is the complement of a countable union of nowhere dense sets. A set is said to be G_δ if it is the countable intersection of dense open sets. As G_δ set is comeagre, the above argument shows that the set $\mathcal{A} \subset C(X,[0,1]^d)$ for which $I_f : (X,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ is an embedding is comeagre, or equivalently, that the fact of I_f being an embedding is generic in $(C(X,[0,1]^d), ||\cdot||_\infty)$.

2.10. **Overview of the article.** Section 3 contains notation. In Section 4 it is shown that the set of periodic points can be immersed in a suitable cubical shift whose dimension is controlled by the periodic dimension of the system. In Section 5 the marker property is introduced. In Section 6 it is shown that aperiodic finite dimensional systems have the marker property by generalizing the Bonatti-Crovisier Tower Theorem. In Section 7 the strong topological Rokhlin property is introduced. In Section 8 the Lindenstrauss-Tsukamoto Conjecture is proven for finite dimensional systems. In Section 9 a theorem bounding the embedding dimension of an extension of an aperiodic finite dimensional system is established. The Appendix contains auxiliary lemmas.

3. Notation

In this section we gather most of the notation of the paper for the convenience of the Reader

3.1. **The system.** Throughout the article (X,T) denotes an invertible topological dynamical system (t.d.s). X is assumed to be compact and metric with metric d. Denote the *diagonal* of $X\times X$ by $\triangle \triangleq \{(x,x)|\, x\in X\}$. Let P denote the set of periodic points of (X,T). Let $P_n=\{x\in X|\ \exists 1\leq m\leq n\ T^m x = x\}\subset P$, be the set of periodic points of period $\leq n$ of X and $H_n = P_n \setminus P_{n-1}$. $dim(X)$ denotes the (Lebesgue covering) dimension of X. Let $orb(x)\triangleq \{T^k x\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$. For α,β (finite) open covers of X, the *join* of α and β is the open cover by all sets of the form $A\cap B$ where $A\in\alpha$, $B\in\beta$. Denote $\alpha^N \triangleq \bigvee_{k=0}^{N-1} T^{-k}\alpha$. For $f: X\to[0,1]^d$ denote by $I_f:(X,T)\to(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant induced map $x\mapsto (f(T^k x))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

3.2. **Distances.** For $A, B \subset X$ closed denote $dist(A,B) \triangleq \min_{x\in A, y\in B} d(x,y)$. For $A \subset X$, let $diam(A) \triangleq \sup_{x,y\in A} d(x,y)$. If $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let $B_\epsilon(x) = \{y \in X | \, d(y,x) < \epsilon\}$ denote the open ball around x. We denote $\overline{B}_\epsilon(x) = \overline{B_\epsilon(x)}$. Note $\overline{B}_\epsilon(x) \subseteq \{y \in X| \, d(y,x) \leq \epsilon\}$ but equality does not necessary hold. Similarly let $B_\epsilon(F) = \{y \in X | \, \exists x \in F \quad d(y,x) < r\}$ be the "ϵ-tube" around a set $F \subset X$. We also define "inward ϵ-tubes" $B_{-\epsilon}(F) = \{y \in F | \, d(y, F^c) > \epsilon\}$. The supremum norm in $\mathbb{R}^l$, $l \geq 2$ is denoted by $||\cdot||_\infty$. $C(X,[0,1]^d)$ stands for the collection of continuous functions $f : X \to [0,1]^d$, equipped with the supremum metric $||f-g||_\infty \triangleq sup_{x\in X}||f(x)-g(x)||_\infty$. If $A \subset X$ is a closed set we denote $||f-g||_{A,\infty} \triangleq sup_{x\in A}||f(x)-g(x)||_\infty$. If $A = X$ one simply writes $||f-g||_\infty$.

3.3. **Vectors.** For $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, denote by $co(V) \triangleq \{\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i v_i | \, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0\}$ the *convex hull* of V. Let K be a topological space. For a vector $v = (v_i)_{i=0}^{n-1} \in K^n$ and $0 \leq a \leq b \leq n-1$, we let $v|_a^b \triangleq (v_i)_{i=a}^b$ and $v|_a \triangleq v_a$. If $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_l\} \subset \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ with $i_1 < \cdots < i_l$, we let $v|_I \triangleq (v_{i_k})_{k=1}^l$. If $n \geq m$ and $v = (v_i)_{i=0}^{m-1} \in K^m$, then $v^{\oplus n} \in K^n$ is defined by $v^{\oplus n}|_k \triangleq v|_{(k \mod m)}$ and for $1 \leq l \leq m-1$, $v^{\bullet l} \in K^m$ is defined by $v^{\bullet l}|_k \triangleq v_{(k+l) \mod m}$. A statement of the form "Property **P** holds for $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m) \in ([0,1]^n)^m$ almost surely (w.r.t Lebesgue measure) is to be understood in the following way: $\mu(\{(v_{ij}) \in [0,1]^{nm} | \, \mathbf{P} \text{ holds for } (v_{ij})\} = 1$ where μ is the Lebesgue measure of $[0,1]^{nm}$.

3.4. **Miscellaneous.** If $\mathcal{U} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n\}$, then $\bigcup \mathcal{U} \triangleq C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots C_n$. Given an open cover α of X and $\{\psi_U\}_{U\in\alpha}$a partition of unity subordinate to α, define for $x \in X$, $\alpha_x = \{U \in \alpha | \, \psi_U(x) > 0\}$. Let $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | \, x \geq 0\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $[r] = \lfloor r \rfloor = \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \, | \, n \leq r\}$ denotes the floor function. $\lceil r \rceil = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \, | \, n \geq r\}$ denotes the ceiling function.

$\{r\} = r - \lfloor r \rfloor$ denotes the fractional part function. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote $\mathbb{Z}_N = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Addition in $\mathbb{Z}_N$ is denoted by $a \oplus b$.

4. Immersion of the set of periodic points

Theorem 4.1. *Assume perdim*$(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ *for some* $d \in \mathbb{N}$*. Then the set of continuous mappings* $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ *so that* $I_f : (P,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ *is an immersion is comeagre in* $C(X,[0,1]^d)$*.*

Proof. Let H_n be the set of $x \in X$, whose minimal period is n. In other words $H_n = P_n \setminus P_{n-1}$. Notice H_n is open in P_n and T-invariant. We also have $P =\overset{\circ}{\bigcup}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H_n$. For each $x \in H_n$ let U_x be an open set in H_n (but not necessarily open in X) so that $x \in U_x \subset \overline{U}_x \subset H_n$ and $\overline{U}_x \cap T^l\overline{U}_x = \emptyset$ for $l = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. E.g. if $d(x, P_{n-1}) = r > 0$, let $0 < \epsilon < r$ small enough so that $U_x = B_\epsilon(x) \cap H_n$ and $\overline{U}_x = \overline{B}_\epsilon(x) \cap P_n = \overline{B}_\epsilon(x) \cap H_n$. For each $(x,y) \in (H_n \times H_n) \setminus \Delta$ we define $K_{(x,y)} = \overline{V}_x \times \overline{V}_y$ where V_x, V_y are open in H_n, $x \in V_x \subset \overline{V}_x \subset H_n$, $y \in V_y \subset \overline{V}_y \subset H_n$ and $\overline{V}_x \times \overline{V}_y \subset (P \times P) \setminus \Delta$ with the following additional properties:

- If $x, Tx, \ldots, T^{n-1}x, y, Ty, \ldots, T^{n-1}y$ are pairwise distinct, choose V_x and V_y so that: $\overline{V}_x, T\overline{V}_x, \ldots, T^{n-1}\overline{V}_x, \overline{V}_y, T\overline{V}_y, \ldots, T^{n-1}\overline{V}_y$ are pairwise disjoint.
- If $y = T^k x$ for some $1 \le k \le n-1$, choose U_x so that $\overline{U}_x \cap T^l\overline{U} = \emptyset$ for $l = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ and define $V_y = T^k U_x$ (and $\overline{V}_y = T^k\overline{U}_x$).

As X is second-countable, every subspace is a Lindelöf space. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose a closed countable cover $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_n = \{\overline{U}_{x_k^n}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of H_n, where $x_k^n \in H_n$. Similarly choose a closed countable cover $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_n = \{K_{(y_k^n, z_k^n)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(H_n \times H_n) \setminus \Delta$, where $(y_k^n, z_k^n) \in (H_n \times H_n) \setminus \Delta$. Note $(P \times P) \setminus \Delta = \big((\overset{\circ}{\bigcup}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H_n) \times (\overset{\circ}{\bigcup}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H_n)\big) \setminus \Delta = \big(\overset{\circ}{\bigcup}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H_n \times H_n\big) \setminus \Delta \cup \overset{\circ}{\bigcup}_{n\neq m} H_n \times H_m$ We now define a countable closed cover of $(P \times P) \setminus \Delta$, $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \bigcup_n \overline{\mathcal{K}}_n \cup \bigcup_{m\neq l} \overline{\mathcal{U}}_m \times \overline{\mathcal{U}}_l$, where $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_m \times \overline{\mathcal{U}}_l \triangleq \{K_1 \times K_2 |\, K_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}_m, K_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}_l\}$. By Proposition 4.2 below $D_{\overline{R}_x \times \overline{R}_y}$ is dense in $C(X,[0,1]^d)$ for any $\overline{R}_x \times \overline{R}_y \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. By Lemma A.2 $D_{\overline{R}_x \times \overline{R}_y}$ is open in $C(X,[0,1]^d)$. Finally note that for any $f \in \bigcap_{\overline{R}_x \times \overline{R}_y \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}} D_{\overline{R}_x \times \overline{R}_y}$, $I_f(P,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ is injective (see Subsection 2.9 for more explanations). $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $\overline{R}_1 \times \overline{R}_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. *Then* $D_{\overline{R}_1 \times \overline{R}_2}$ *is dense in* $C(X,[0,1]^d)$.

Proof. As $\overline{R}_1 \times \overline{R}_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$, one can find $n_1 \geq n_2$ (we assume w.l.o.g $n_1 \geq n_2$ as the case $n_1 \leq n_2$ is similar), $x_1, x_2 \in X$ so that for $i = 1,2$ $x_i \in R_i \subset \overline{R}_i \subset H_{n_i}$, R_i open in H_{n_i} and $\overline{R}_i \cap T^l \overline{R}_i = \emptyset$ for $l = 1, 2, \ldots, n_i - 1$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\tilde{f} : X \to [0,1]^d$ be a continuous function. We will show that there exists a continuous function $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $\|f - \tilde{f}\|_\infty < \epsilon$ and I_f is $(\overline{R}_1 \times \overline{R}_2)$-compatible. As $\frac{dim(\overline{R}_i)}{n_i} < \frac{d}{2}$, one can choose open covers α_i of $\overline{R}_i$ with $ord(\alpha_i) < \frac{n_i d}{2}$ so that $\max_{U \in \alpha_i, 0 \leq k \leq n_i - 1} diam(\tilde{f}(T^k U)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. For each $U \in \alpha_i$ define $\tilde{v}_U = (\tilde{f}(T^j q_U))_{j=0}^{n_i - 1}$ and choose $q_U \in U$, so that $\{q_U\}_{U \in \alpha_i}$ is a collection of distinct points in X. We distinguish between two cases:

Case 1. $\overline{R}_1, T\overline{R}_1, \ldots, T^{n_1 - 1}\overline{R}_2, \overline{R}_2, T\overline{R}_2, \ldots, T^{n_2 - 1}\overline{R}_2$ are pairwise disjoint. By Lemma A.9 one can find continuous functions $F_i : \overline{R}_i \to ([0,1]^d)^{n_i}$, with the following properties ($i = 1,2$):

(1) $\forall U \in \alpha_i$, $||F_i(q_U) - \tilde{v}_U||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$,

(2) $\forall x \in X$, $F_i(x) \in co\{F(q_U) | \, x \in U \in \alpha_i\}$,

(3) If $x' \in \overline{R}_1$ and $y' \in \overline{R}_2$ then $F_1(x') \neq (F_2(y'))^{\oplus n_1}$ (recall that for $v = (v_i)_{i=0}^{n_2 - 1} \in ([0,1]^d)^{n_2}$, $v^{\oplus n_1} \in ([0,1]^d)^{n_1}$ is defined by $v^{\oplus n_1}|_k = v|_{(k \mod n_2)}$).

Define for $z \in \overline{R}_i$ and $0 \leq k \leq n_i - 1$:

$$f_{|T^k \overline{R}_i}(T^k z) \triangleq F_i(z)|_k$$

Notice that for $z \in \overline{R}_2$ and $0 \leq k \leq n_1 - 1$ it holds:

$$f_{|T^k \overline{R}_2}(T^k z) = (F_2(z))^{\oplus n_1}|_k$$

Indeed if $k_1 = k_2 \mod n_2$, $0 \leq k_1 \leq n_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq k_2 \leq n_2 - 1$, then $T^{k_1} z = T^{k_2} z$ as $\overline{R}_2 \subset F_{n_2}$ and $f_{|T^{k_1}\overline{R}_2}(T^{k_1} z) = f_{|T^{k_2}\overline{R}_2}(T^{k_2} z) = (F_2(z))^{\oplus n_1}|_{k_1}$ by definition.

Define $B = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n_1-1} T^k \overline{R}_1 \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^{n_2-1} \overline{R}_2$. Note that by property (2) above, for $z \in \overline{R}_i$ and $0 \leq k \leq n_i - 1$, $f(T^k z) = F_i(z)_{|k} \in co\{F_i(q_U)_{|k} |\, z \in U \in \alpha_i\}$. By Property (1) for every $U \in \alpha_i$ with $z \in U$, we have $||F_i(q_U)|_k - (\tilde{v}_U)|_k||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. As for $z \in U$, $||\tilde{f}(T^k z) - \tilde{f}(T^k q_U)|_k||_\infty = ||\tilde{f}(T^k z) - (\tilde{v}_U)|_k||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, we conclude $||\tilde{f}_{|B} - f||_{B,\infty} < \epsilon$. By Lemma A.5, we can extend f continuously to $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $||\tilde{f} - f||_\infty < \epsilon$.

Assume for a contradiction $I_f(x') = I_f(y')$ for some $(x', y') \in \overline{R}_1 \times \overline{R}_2$. In particular we have $F_1(x') = (f(x'), \ldots, f(T^{n_1-1}x')) = (f(y'), \ldots, f(T^{n_1-1}y')) = (F_2(y'))^{\oplus n_2}$ which is a contradiction to property (3).

Case 2. $n_1 = n_2 \triangleq n$ and $\overline{R}_1 = \overline{R}, T\overline{R}, \ldots, T^{n-1}\overline{R}$ are pairwise disjoint and $\overline{R}_2 = T^l \overline{R}$ for some $1 \leq l \leq n-1$. By Lemma A.10 one can find a continuous function $F : \overline{R} \to ([0,1]^d)^n$, with the following properties:

1. $\forall U \in \alpha \triangleq \alpha_1,\ ||F(q_U) - \tilde{v}_U||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$,
2. $\forall x \in X,\ F(x) \in co\{F(q_U) |\, x \in U \in \alpha\}$,
3. If $x', y' \in \overline{R}$ then $F(x') \neq (F(y'))^{\bullet l}$ (recall that for $v = (v_i)_{i=0}^{n-1} \in ([0,1]^d)^n$ and $1 \leq l \leq n-1$, $v^{\bullet l}|_k = v_{(k+l) \mod n}$).

Define for $z \in \overline{R}$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-1$:

$$f_{|T^k\overline{R}}(T^k z) \triangleq F(z)|_k \tag{4.1}$$

Notice that for $\tilde{z} \in \overline{R}_2$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ it holds:

$$f_{|T^k\overline{R}_2}(T^k \tilde{z}) = (F(T^{-l}\tilde{z}))^{\bullet l}|_k \tag{4.2}$$

Indeed $f_{|T^k\overline{R}_2}(T^k \tilde{z}) = f_{|T^{k+l}\overline{R}}(T^{k+l}(T^{-l}\tilde{z})) = (F(T^{-l}\tilde{z}))^{\bullet l}|_k$ where we have used the fact that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, as $R \subset H_n$, $f_{|T^k\overline{R}}(T^k z)) = F(z)|_{k \mod n}$. As in Case 1 one can extend f continuously to $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ with $||\tilde{f} - f||_\infty < \epsilon$.

Assume for a contradiction $I_f(x') = I_f(y')$ for some $(x', y') \in \overline{R} \times \overline{R}_2$. In particular we have by (4.1) and (4.2), $F(x') = (f(x'), \ldots, f(T^{n-1}x')) = (f(y'), \ldots, f(T^{n-1}y')) = (F(T^{-l}y'))^{\bullet l}$ which is a contradiction to property (3).

□

5. The Marker Property

Definition 5.1. A subset F of a topological dynamical system (X, T) is called an n**-marker** ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) if:

1. The sets $F \cap T^i(F) = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$.
2. The sets $\{T^i(F)\}_{i=1}^m$ cover X for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The system (X, T) is said to have the **marker property** if there exist *open* n-markers for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 5.2. Clearly the marker property is stable under extension, i.e. if (X, T) has the marker property and $(Y, S) \to (X, T)$ is an extension, then (Y, S) has the marker property.

Remark 5.3. By Lemma A.1 one can consider closed n-markers instead of open n-markers without loss of generality.

The marker property was first defined in [Dow06] (Definition 2), where one requires the n-markers to be clopen. In the same article it was proven that an extension of a non-trivial aperiodic zero-dimensional (non necessarily invertible) t.d.s has the marker property. This was essentially based on the "Krieger Marker Lemma" (Lemma 2 of [Kri82]). From [Lin99, Lemma 3.3] it follows that an extension of an aperiodic minimal system has the marker property. In [Gut12] the marker property and the related *local* marker property are investigated. In the same article, some classes of t.d.s having the marker property are exhibited, for example, extensions of aperiodic t.d.s with a countable number of minimal subsystems. We mention an open problem:

Problem 5.4. Does any aperiodic system have the marker property?

6. Aperiodic finite dimensional systems have the marker property

In [BC04, Theorem 3.1] a certain Tower Theorem was proved for C^1-diffeomorphism on manifolds. We present a partial generalization to the setting of homeomorphisms of metric compacta:

Theorem 6.1. *Let (X, T) be an aperiodic finite dimensional t.d.s, then (X, T) has the marker property.*

In order to prove the preceding theorem, we generalize the aperiodic case of Lemma 3.7 on p. 60 of [BC04]:

Lemma 6.2. *Let X be a compact metric space with dim$(X) = d$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ U and V two open sets in X. There exists $m = m(d, N) = (2d+2)N-1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if*

1. $\overline{U} \cap T^i\overline{U} = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$.
2. $\overline{V} \cap T^i\overline{V} = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

then there exists an open W so that $\overline{U} \subset \overline{W}$, $\overline{V} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} T^i(\overline{W})$ and $\overline{W} \cap T^i\overline{W} = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1 using Lemma 6.2. Cover X by $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_s$ so that $\overline{U}_j \cap T^i\overline{U}_j = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots m(d, N)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. Apply Lemma 6.2, to get an open set W_2 so that $\overline{W}_2 \cap T^i\overline{W}_2 = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$, $\overline{U}_1 \subset \overline{W}_2$ and $\overline{U}_2 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} T^i(\overline{W}_2)$. Proceed by induction. Assume there is an open set W_k so that $\overline{W}_k \cap T^i\overline{W}_k = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \overline{U}_i \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{m} T^i(\overline{W}_k)$. By Lemma 6.2, one can find an open set W_{k+1} so that $\overline{W}_{k+1} \cap T^i\overline{W}_{k+1} =$

$\emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$, $\overline{W}_k \subset \overline{W}_{k+1}$ and $\overline{U}_{k+1} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m T^i(\overline{W}_{k+1})$. The last two equalities imply $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} \overline{U}_i \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^m T^i(\overline{W}_{k+1})$. We thus conclude that for $W \triangleq W_s$, one has $\overline{W} \cap T^i\overline{W} = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ and $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \overline{U}_i \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^m T^i(\overline{W})$. □

In the proof of the preceding lemma, we are going to use the following definition from p. 944 of [Kul95]:

Definition 6.3. Let X be a compact space with $dim(X) = d$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. We say $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_l \subset X$ are in **general position** if for all $\mathcal{I} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ with $|\mathcal{I}| = m$, one has $dim(\bigcap_{i\in\mathcal{I}} S_i) \leq \max\{-1, d-m\}$ (where $dim(Y) = -1$ iff $Y = \emptyset$).

The following Lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.5 of [Lin95]:

Lemma 6.4. *Let X be a compact metric space with $dim(X) = d$. Let (X, T) be aperiodic. Let U be an open set. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and V open set with $\partial U \subset V$ there exists an open set U' with $U \subset U' \subset U \cup V$ and $\partial U' \subset \partial U \cup V$ such that $\{\partial U', T\partial U', \dots T^{k-1}\partial U'\}$ are in general position.*

Remark 6.5. In the sequel we only need the weaker property that any subcollection of $\{\partial U', T\partial U', \dots T^{k-1}\partial U'\}$ of cardinality $\geq d+1$ has empty intersection.

Proof of Lemma 6.2. The idea of the proof is the following: If $\overline{U}$ and $\overline{V}$ have both m disjoint iterates, it does not necessarily hold for their union $\overline{U} \cup \overline{V}$, which is the naive candidate for $\overline{W}$. Instead of this union we decompose $\overline{V}$ into pieces and construct a closed set $\overline{W}$ containing $\overline{U}$ and (different) iterates of these pieces. Specifically denote $R \triangleq \overline{V} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m T^i U$. We will define a finite collection closed sets $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ which covers R and has some additional properties that will be essential for the definition of W. Let $\rho > 0$ be such that $\overline{B}_\rho(R) \cap T^i\overline{B}_\rho(R) = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. By Lemma 6.4, by enlarging U one can assume w.l.o.g that $\mathcal{B} \triangleq \{\partial U, T^1\partial U, \ldots, T^m\partial U\}$ is in general position and still $\overline{U} \cap T^i\overline{U} = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$.

We claim that there is $0 < \delta < \rho$ so that for every $x \in R$, $|\{i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}|\, T^i\overline{U} \cap \overline{B}_\delta(x)) \neq \emptyset\}| \leq d$. Indeed assume not. Then one can find sequences $x_k \in R$, $\delta_k > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\delta_k \to_{k\to\infty} 0$ and $x_k \to_{k\to\infty} x$ for some $x \in R$ (as R is closed) with $|\{i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}|\, T^i\overline{U} \cap \overline{B}_{\delta_k}(x_k) \neq \emptyset\}| > d$. By refining the sequence one can find distinct $i_1, \ldots i_{d+1} \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $y_k^l \in X$, $k \in I \subset \mathbb{N}$, $|I| = \infty$, $l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d+1\}$ so that $d(y_k^l, x_k) \leq \delta_k$ and $y_k^l \in T^{i_l}\overline{U}$. As for every l, $y_k^l \to_{k\to\infty} x$, we conclude $x \in R \cap \bigcap_{l=1}^{d+1} T^{i_l}\overline{U} \subset \bigcap_{l=1}^{d+1} T^{i_l}\partial\overline{U}$. This contradicts $\mathcal{B}$ being in general position. Choose an open finite cover of R of the form $\mathcal{U} = \{B_\delta(z_i)\}_{i=1}^s$ ($z_i \in R$) and define $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \{\overline{M}|\, M \in \mathcal{U}\}$. We record the crucial property of the closed cover $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$:

$$\forall E \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}\, |\{i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}|\, T^i\overline{U} \cap E \neq \emptyset\}| \leq d \tag{6.1}$$

Let $O = \bigcup \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Clearly $R \subset O$. Note $O \subset \overline{B}_\rho(R)$, which implies:

$$O \cap T^i O = \emptyset,\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \tag{6.2}$$

We now associate to each element in $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ an integer in $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2d+1\}$, i.e. we define a mapping $c : \overline{\mathcal{U}} \to \{1, 2, \ldots, 2d+1\}$. Fix $E \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. We claim there is at least one element $c(E)$ in $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2d+1\}$, so that for $t \in \{-(N-1), \ldots, (N-1)\}$, $T^tE \cap T^{c(E)N}\overline{U} = \emptyset$. Indeed start out by marking the indices $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s \leq m$, so that $E \cap T^{i_k}\overline{U} \neq \emptyset$. By (6.1), $s \leq d$. Consider the index intervals $I_k = \{-N+1+kN, \ldots, kN+N-1\}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, 2d+1$. As $m = (2d+1)N + N - 1$, $I_k \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Clearly each i_l can be contained in at most two index intervals. By a simple pigeonhole argument there must be an interval $I_{c(E)}$ which does not contain any of the i_l, $l = 1, \ldots, s$. This is equivalent to the desired statement.

Define the open set $W = U \cup \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{U}} T^{-c(\overline{H})N} H$ and note:

$$\overline{W} = \overline{U} \cup \bigcup_{E \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}} T^{-c(E)N} E$$

Clearly $\overline{U} \subset \overline{W}$, $\overline{V} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} T^i(\overline{W})$. Indeed let $x \in \overline{V}$. If $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} T^i U$, then certainly $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} T^i(\overline{W})$. Otherwise $x \in R = \overline{V} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} T^i U$. Thus there exist $E \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$, such that $x \in E \subset T^{c(E)N}\overline{W}$. Clearly $1 \leq c(E)N < m$ We now claim $\overline{W} \cap T^i\overline{W} = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$. Assume not, then there exists $x, y \in W$ and $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N-1\}$ so that $x = T^t y$. We consider several cases:

1. $x, y \in \overline{U}$. Contradicts the fact $\overline{U} \cap T^i\overline{U} = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$.
2. $x, y \in T^{-c(E)N}E \subset T^{-c(E)N}O$ for some $E \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Contradicts (6.2).
3. $x \in T^{-c(E_1)N}E_1$ and $y \in T^{-c(E_2)N}E_2$ for $E_1 \neq E_2$. Conclude $T^{t+c(E_1)N}y \in E_1 \subset O$ and $T^{c(E_2)N}y \in E_2 \subset O$. However as $0 < |t + c(E_1)N - c(E_2)N| \leq (2d+1)N < m$ this contradicts (6.2).
4. $x \in T^{-c(E)N}E$ and $y \in \overline{U}$. Conclude $E \cap T^{t+c(E)N}\overline{U} \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts the definition of $c(E)$.
5. $x \in \overline{U}$ and $y \in T^{-c(E)N}E$. Conclude $E \cap T^{-t+c(E)N}\overline{U} \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts the definition of $c(E)$.

□

7. The Strong Topological Rokhlin Property

In [Gut11, Subsection 1.9], the topological Rokhlin property was introduced. Here is a stronger variant, originating in [Lin99], which is further discussed in [Gut12]:

Definition 7.1. We say that (X, T) has the **(global) strong topological Rokhlin property** if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a continuous function

$f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ so that if we define the *exceptional set* $E_f = \{x \in X \,|\, f(Tx) \neq f(x) + 1\}$, then $E_f \cap T^i(E_f) = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots n-1$.

Remark 7.2. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b - a \leq n - 1$. Under the above assumptions consider $x \in X$. Then there exists at most one index $a \leq l \leq b$ so that $f(T^{l+1}x) \neq f(T^l x) + 1$. Indeed if $T^l x, T^{l'} x \in E_f$ for $a \leq l < l' \leq b$, then $E_f \cap T^{l'-l} E_f \neq \emptyset$ contradicting the definition.

The following theorem is proven in [Gut12]. It also follows easily from the proof of Lemma 3.3 of [Lin99]:

Theorem 7.3. (X, T) has the strong topological Rokhlin property iff (X, T) has the marker property.

8. Finite dimensional Jaworski-type theorem

Theorem 8.1. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. If X is finite dimensional and perdim$(X, T) < \frac{d}{2}$, then (X, T) can be equivariantly embedded in $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$.*

Proof. Let $dim(X) = n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will use the following representation $(X \times X) \setminus (\triangle \cup (P \times P)) = D_1 \cup D_2$ where $D_1 = (X \times X) \setminus (\triangle \cup (P_{6n} \times X) \cup (X \times P_{6n}))$ and $D_2 = (P_{6n} \times (X \setminus P)) \cup ((X \setminus P) \times P_{6n})$. Assume $(x, y) \in D_1$. We claim we can find $i_0 = 0, i_1, \dots, i_{2n}$ so that $T^{i_0}x, \dots, T^{i_{2n}}x, T^{i_0}y, \dots, T^{i_{2n}}y$ are pairwise distinct. Indeed if $y \notin orb(x)$ this is trivial. Likewise if $x \notin P$ and $y = T^l x$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, define $i_k = k(l+1)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$. Finally if $y \in orb(x)$ and $x \in P$, then by assumption $x \in P_N \setminus P_{N-1}$ for $N > 6n$. To find $i_0 = 0, i_1, \dots, i_{2n}$ invoke Lemma A.3. We can thus find open sets $U_x, U_y \subset X$ so that $x \in U_x$, $y \in U_y$ and so that $\{T^{i_k}\overline{U}_x, T^{i_k}\overline{V}_y\}_{k=0}^{2n}$ are pairwise disjoint. Define $K_{(x,y)} = \overline{U}_x \times \overline{V}_y$. By Proposition 8.2 below, $D_{K_{(x,y)}}$ is dense in $C(X, [0,1]^d)$. Let $(x, y) \in D_2$. Assume w.l.o.g that $(x, y) \in (X \setminus P) \times H_m$ with $1 \leq m \leq 6n$. Choose $S \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $n + 1 \leq (S - \frac{m}{2})d$. Let $U \subset X \setminus P_{6n}$ be an open set with $x \in U$ and so that $\overline{U} \cap T^l \overline{U} = \emptyset$, $l = 1, \dots 2S$. Let W

be an open set in H_m with $y \in W \subset \overline{W} \subset H_m$. Define $K_{(x,y)} = \overline{U} \times \overline{W}$. By Proposition 8.3 below $D_{K_{(x,y)}}$ is dense in $C(X, [0,1]^d)$. By Lemma A.2 for any $(x, y) \in (X \times X) \setminus (\triangle \cup (P \times P))$, $D_{K_{(x,y)}}$ is open in $C(X, [0,1]^d)$. As $X \times X$ is second-countable, every subspace is a Lindelöf space. We can therefore choose a closed countable cover of $D_1 \cup D_2 = (X \times X) \setminus (\triangle \cup (P \times P))$ by closed sets $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \{K_{(x_1,y_1)}, K_{(x_2,y_2)}, \dots\}$ so that $D_{K_{(x_i,y_i)}}$ is open and dense in $C(X, [0,1]^n)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Theorem 4.1 there is a comeagre set $\mathcal{D} \subset C(X, [0,1]^d)$ so that if $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then I_f is $(P \times P) \setminus \Delta$-compatible. Define the comeagre set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D} \cap \bigcap_{K \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}} D_K$. Clearly any $f \in \mathcal{A}$ realizes an embedding $(X, T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ (see Subsection 2.9 for more explanations). $\square$

Proposition 8.2. *For* $(x, y) \in X \times X \setminus (\triangle \cup (P_{6n} \times X) \cup (X \times P_{6n}))$*,* $D_{K_{(x,y)}}$ *is dense in* $C(X, [0,1]^d)$*.*

Proof. Let $U_1, U_2 \subset X$ be the open sets so that $x \in U_1$, $y \in U_2$ and $K_{(x,y)} = \overline{U}_1 \times \overline{U}_2$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\tilde{f} : X \to [0,1]^d$ be a continuous function. We will show that there exists a continuous function $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $\|f - \tilde{f}\|_\infty < \epsilon$ and I_f is $K_{(x,y)}$-compatible. Assume w.l.o.g $0 < \epsilon < dist(\overline{U}_1, \overline{U}_2) > 0$. Let α_1 and α_2 be open covers of $\overline{U}_1$ and $\overline{U}_2$ respectively with $\max_{W \in \alpha_j, k \in \{0,1,\dots,2n\}} diam(\tilde{f}(T^{i_k} W)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, $\max_{U \in \alpha_j} diam(W) < \epsilon$ and $ord(\alpha_j) \leq n$ for $j = 1, 2$. For each $W \in \alpha_j$ define $\tilde{v}_W = (\tilde{f}(T^{i_k} q_W))_{k=0}^{2n}$ and choose $q_W \in W$ so that $\{q_W\}_{U \in \alpha_j}$ is a collection of distinct points in X. By Lemma A.9 (with $n_1 = n_2 = 2n + 1$, note $F_2^{\oplus n} = F_2$) one can find for $j = 1, 2$ continuous functions $F_j : X \to ([0,1]^d)^{2n+1}$, with the following properties:

1. $\forall W \in \alpha_j$, $||F(q_W) - \tilde{v}_W||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$,
2. $\forall x \in X$, $F_j(x) \in co\{F_j(q_W) | \, x \in W \in \alpha_j\}$,
3. If $x' \in \overline{U}$ and $y' \in \overline{V}$ then $F_1(x') \neq F_2(y')$.

Let $A = \bigcup_{k=0}^{2n} T^{i_k}(\overline{U} \cup \overline{V})$. Define $f' : A \to [0,1]^d$:

$$f'_{|T^{i_k}\overline{U}_j}(T^{i_k}z) = F_j(z)|_k$$

Fix $z \in \overline{U}_j$ and $k \in \{0,1,\ldots,2n\}$. As by property (2) $f'(T^{i_k}z) = F_j(z)|_k \in co\{F_j(q_W)|_k | \, z \in W \in \alpha_j\}$, $||f'(T^{i_k}z) - \tilde{f}(T^{i_k}z)|| \leq \max_{z\in W\in\alpha_j} ||F_j(q_W)|_k - \tilde{f}(T^{i_k}z)||_\infty$. Fix $W \in \alpha_j$ with $z \in W$. Note $||F_j(q_W)|_k - \tilde{f}(T^{i_k}z)||_\infty \leq ||F_j(q_W)|_k - \tilde{v}_W|_k||_\infty + ||\tilde{v}_W|_k - \tilde{f}(T^{i_k}z)||_\infty$. The first term on the right-hand side is bounded by $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ by property (1). As $diam(\tilde{f}(T^{i_k}W)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $\tilde{v}_W|_k = \tilde{f}(T^{i_k}q_W)$ we have $||\tilde{f}(T^{i_k}q_W) - \tilde{f}(T^{i_k}z)||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. We finally conclude $||f' - \tilde{f}||_{A,\infty} < \epsilon$. By Lemma A.5 there is $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $f_{|A} = f'_{|A}$ and $||f - \tilde{f}||_\infty < \epsilon$.

Assume for a contradiction $I_f(x') = I_f(y')$ for some $(x', y') \in \overline{U} \times \overline{V}$. In particular we have $(f(T^{i_0}x'), \ldots, f(T^{i_{2n}}x')) = F(x') = (f(T^{i_0}y'), \ldots, f(T^{i_{2n}}y')) = F(y')$ which is a contradiction to property (3).

□

Proposition 8.3. *For $(x, y) \in (P_{6n} \times (X \setminus P)) \cup ((X \setminus P) \times P_{6n})$, $D_{K_{(x,y)}}$ is dense in $C(X, [0,1]^d)$.*

Proof. Assume w.l.o.g that $(x, y) \in (X \setminus P) \times H_m$ with $1 \leq m \leq 6n$. Let U, W be the sets with $\overline{U} \cap \overline{W} = \emptyset$ so that $K_{(x,y)} = \overline{U} \times \overline{W}$. Recall one has chosen $S \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $n + 1 \leq (S - \frac{m}{2})d$ and $\overline{U} \cap T^l\overline{U} = \emptyset$, $l = 1, \ldots 2S$. Let $0 < \epsilon < dist(\overline{U}, \overline{W}) > 0$. Let $\tilde{f} : X \to [0,1]^d$ be a continuous function. We will show that there exists a continuous function $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $\|f - \tilde{f}\|_\infty < \epsilon$ and I_f is $K_{(x,y)}$-compatible. Let γ be an open cover of $\overline{U}$ so that $\max_{V\in\gamma, k\in\{0,1,\ldots,2S\}} diam(\tilde{f}(T^kV)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, $\max_{V\in\gamma} diam(V) < \epsilon$ and $ord(\gamma) \leq n$. For each $V \in \gamma$ define $\tilde{v}_V = (\tilde{f}(T^kq_V))_{k=0}^{2S}$ and choose $q_V \in V$ so that $\{q_U\}_{U\in\gamma}$ is a collection of distinct points in X. By Lemma A.11 (with $N = 2S + 1$, $\lambda = 1$), there exists a continuous function $F : X \to ([0,1]^d)^N$, with the following properties:

(1) $\forall V \in \gamma$, $||F(q_V) - \tilde{v}_V||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$,

(2) $\forall x \in X$, $F(x) \in co\{F(q_V)| \, x \in V \in \gamma\}$,

(3) For any $w \in X$ it holds $F(w)|_1^{2S} \notin V_{2S}^m$, where $V_{2S}^m \triangleq \{z = (z_0, \ldots, z_{2S-1}) \in ([0,1]^d)^{2S} | \quad \forall 0 \leq a, b \leq 2S-1, \ (a = b \mod m) \to z_a = z_b\}$.

Let $A = \bigcup_{k=0}^{2S} T^k \overline{U}$. Define $f : A \to [0,1]^d$:

$$f'_{|T^k\overline{U}}(T^k z) = F(z)|_k$$

We conclude as in Proposition 8.2 that there is $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $f_{|A} = f'_{|A}$ and $||f - \tilde{f}||_\infty < \epsilon$.

Let $(x', y') \in \overline{U} \times \overline{W}$. Note $(f(T^1 x'), \ldots, f(T^{2S} x')) = F(x')|_1^{2S} \notin V_{2S}^m$. As $y' \in \overline{W} \subset H_m$, we have $(f(T^1 y'), \ldots, f(T^{2S} y')) \in V_{2S}^m$. Conclude by property (3), $I_f(x') \neq I_f(y')$.

□

9. Infinite dimensional Jaworski-type theorem

Theorem 9.1. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (Z, S) be an aperiodic finite-dimensional t.d.s and (X, T) a t.d.s with $mdim(X, T) < \frac{d}{16}$. Let $\pi : (X, T) \to (Z, S)$ be an extension. Then there is a continuous mapping $f : X \to [0,1]^{d+1}$ such that $I_f : (X, T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^{d+1})^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ is an embedding.*

Proof. By Theorem 9.3 below, there is an embedding $I_g \times \pi : (X, T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift) \times (Z, S)$ for some continuous mapping $g : X \to [0,1]^d$. By Jaworski's Theorem (see Subsection 2.7) there is a continuous mapping $h : Z \to [0,1]$ so that $I_h : (Z, S) \hookrightarrow (([0,1])^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ is an embedding. Conclude that for $f = (g, h \circ \pi) : X \to [0,1]^{d+1}$, $I_f : (X, T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^{d+1})^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift)$ is an embedding. □

Definition 9.2. Let X, Y be metric spaces and $\epsilon > 0$. A continuous mapping $f : X \to Y$ such that for every $y \in Y$, $diam(f^{-1}(y)) < \epsilon$ is called an **ϵ-embedding**.

The following Theorem is closely related to Theorem 5.1 of [Lin99] and Theorem 1.5 of [GT12].

Theorem 9.3. *Let* $d \in \mathbb{N}$. *Let* (Z, S) *be an aperiodic finite-dimensional t.d.s and* (X, T) *a t.d.s with* $mdim(X, T) < \frac{d}{16}$. *Let* $\pi : (X, T) \to (Z, S)$ *be an extension. Then the collection of continuous mappings* $f : X \to [0, 1]^d$ *that* $I_f \times \pi : (X, T) \hookrightarrow (([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift) \times (Z, S)$ *is an embedding is comeagre in* $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Denote:

$$D^{\pi}_{\epsilon} = \{f \in C(X, [0, 1]^d) | \quad I_f \times \pi \text{ is an } \epsilon\text{-embedding}\} \tag{9.1}$$

By Lemma 9.4 below D^{π}_{ϵ} is open in $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$. We now prove that D^{π}_{ϵ} is dense in $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$. As every $f \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D^{\pi}_{\frac{1}{n}}$ realizes an embedding $I_f \times \pi : (X, T) \hookrightarrow (([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, shift) \times (Z, S)$, this implies the statement of the theorem through the Baire Category Theorem (see Subsection 2.9). Let $\tilde{f} : X \to [0, 1]^d$ be a continuous function and $\delta > 0$. We will show that there exists a continuous function $f : X \to [0, 1]^d$ so that $\|f - \tilde{f}\|_\infty < \delta$ and $I_f \times \pi$ is an ϵ-embedding. We define:

$$m_{dim} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{32} & mdim(X, T) = 0 \\ mdim(X, T) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notice it holds $m_{dim} < \frac{d}{16}$. Let α be a cover of X with $\max_{U\in\alpha} diam(\tilde{f}(U)) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $\max_{U\in\alpha} diam(U) < \epsilon$. Let $\epsilon' > 0$ be such that $16m_{dim}(1 + 2\epsilon') < d$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that it holds $\frac{1}{N}D(\alpha^{N+1}) < (1 + \epsilon')m_{dim}$ (here we use $m_{dim} > 0$ and Remark 2.5.1) and N is divisible by 16. Let $\gamma \succ \alpha^{N+1}$ be an open cover so that $D(\alpha^{N+1}) = ord(\gamma)$. We have thus $ord(\gamma) < N(1 + \epsilon')m_{dim}$. Let $M = \frac{N}{2}$ and $S = \frac{M}{8}$. Observe $M, S \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice $Sd > \frac{N}{16}16m_{dim}(1 + 2\epsilon') = Nm_{dim}(1 + 2\epsilon') > Nm_{dim}(1 + \epsilon')$. Conclude:

$$ord(\gamma) < Sd \tag{9.2}$$

For each $U \in \gamma$ choose $q_U \in U$ and define $\tilde{v}_U = (\tilde{f}(T^i q_U))_{i=0}^{N-1}$. By (9.2), according to Lemma 5.6 of [Lin99], one can find a continuous function $F : X \to ([0,1]^d)^N$, with the following properties:

1. $\forall U \in \gamma$, $||F(q_U) - \tilde{v}_U||_\infty < \frac{\delta}{2}$,
2. $\forall x \in X$, $F(x) \in co\{F(q_U) |\, x \in U \in \gamma\}$,
3. If for some $0 \leq l < N - 4S$ and $\lambda \in (0,1]$ and $x, y, x', y' \in X$ so that:

$$\lambda F(x)|_l^{l+4S-1} + (1-\lambda)F(y)|_{l+1}^{l+4S} = \lambda F(x')|_l^{l+4S-1} + (1-\lambda)F(y')|_{l+1}^{l+4S}$$

then there exist $U \in \gamma$ so that $x, x' \in U$.

By Theorem 6.1 (Z, S) has the marker property. By Theorem 7.3 (Z, S) has the strong topological Rokhlin property. We therefore have a continuous function $n : Z \to \mathbb{R}$ so that *for* $E_n = \{y \in Z \,|\, n(Sy) \neq n(y) + 1\}$, it holds that $E_n \cap S^i(E_n)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2M - 2)$ are pairwise disjoint. Let $\underline{n}(x), \overline{n}(x) n'(x) : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $\underline{n}(x) = \lfloor n(\pi(x)) \rfloor \mod M$, $\overline{n}(x) = \lceil n(\pi(x)) \rceil \mod M$, $n'(x) = \{n(\pi(x))\}$. Define for $x \in X$:

$$f(x) = (1 - n'(x)F(T^{-\underline{n}(x)}x)|_{\underline{n}(x)} + n'(x)F(T^{-\overline{n}(x)}x)|_{\overline{n}(x)} \tag{9.3}$$

f is continuous by the argument appearing on p. 241 of [Lin99]. By the argument of Claim 1 on p. 241 of [Lin99], as $\max_{U \in \alpha} diam(\tilde{f}(U)) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $\max_{U \in \gamma} ||F(q_U) - v_U||_\infty < \frac{\delta}{2}$ we have $||\tilde{f} - f||_\infty < \delta$. We now show that $f \in D_\epsilon^\pi$. Fix $x, y \in X$. Assume for a contradiction $f(T^a x) = f(T^a y)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$. The latter equality implies $\pi(T^a x) = \pi(T^a y)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, which implies $n(\pi(T^a x)) = n(\pi(T^a y))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that by Remark 7.2 there is at most one index $-\frac{3}{2}M \leq j \leq \frac{M}{2} - 2$ for which $n(T^{j+1}x) \neq$

$n(T^j x)+1$. By Lemma A.4 one can find an index $-\frac{3}{2}M \le r \le 0$ so that for $r \le s \le r+\frac{M}{2}-1$, , $\underline{n}(T^s x)=\underline{n}(T^r x)+s-r$, $\overline{n}(T^s x)=\overline{n}(T^r x)+s-r$ and $\underline{n}(T^r x) \le \frac{M}{2}$. Denote $\lambda = n'(T^r x)$, $a=\underline{n}(T^r x) \le \frac{M}{2}$. Recall $\frac{M}{2}=4S$. Substituting $T^s x, T^s y$ for $r \le s \le r+4S-1$ in equation (9.3), we conclude from the equality $I_f(x)|_r^{r+4S-1}=I_f(y)|_r^{r+4S-1}$ and $n(\pi(T^a x))=n(\pi(T^a y))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(1-\lambda)F(T^{r-a}x)|_a^{a+4S-1}+\lambda F(T^{r-a-1}x)|_{a+1}^{a+4S}=(1-\lambda)F(T^{r-a}y)|_a^{a+4S-1}+\lambda F(T^{r-a-1}y)|_{a+1}^{a+4S}$$

As the conditions of Lemma 5.6 of [Lin99] are fulfilled then by property (3), there exist $U \in \gamma \succ \alpha^{N+1}$ so that $T^{r-a}x, T^{r-a}y \in U$. As $N=-\frac{3}{2}M-\frac{M}{2} \le r-a \le 0$ we can find $V \in \alpha$, so that $x, y \in V$. As x, y were arbitrary and $\max_{U\in\alpha} diam(U) < \epsilon$, we conclude that for every $z \in ([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \times Z$, $diam((I_f \times \pi)^{-1}(z) < \epsilon$.

□

Lemma 9.4. *Let* $\epsilon > 0$. D^π_ϵ *is open in* $C(X,[0,1]^d)$.

Proof. Let $f \in D^\pi_\epsilon$. We claim there exist $\tau > 0$ so that for every $z \in ([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \times F \triangleq R$, $diam((I_f \times \pi)^{-1}(B_\tau(z))) < \epsilon$. Notice that by the definition of D^π_ϵ (equation (9.1)), the previous claim is true when $B_\tau(z)$ is replaced by z. Now assume for a contradiction that the claim does not hold. Then for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, one can find $z_i \in R$, $x_i, y_i \in X$, , so that $I_f \times \pi(x_i), I_f \times \pi(y_i) \in B_{\frac{1}{i}}(z_i)$ and $d(x_i, y_i) \ge \epsilon$. By compactness there exist $x, y \in X$ so that $d(x,y) \ge \epsilon$ and $I_f \times \pi(x) = I_f \times \pi(y)$. This is a contradiction to $f \in D^\pi_\epsilon$. Now let $g \in C(X,[0,1]^d)$ so that $||f-g||_\infty < \frac{\tau}{2}$. We claim $g \in D^\pi_\epsilon$. If $I_g \times \pi(x) = I_g \times \pi(y)$, then $||I_f \times \pi(x) - I_f \times \pi(y)||_\infty < \tau$, which implies $d(x,y) < \epsilon$. □

Appendix .

Lemma A.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (X,T) has a closed n-marker iff (X,T) has an open n-marker.*

Proof. Let F be a closed n-marker such that $\{T^i(F)\}_{i=1}^m$ cover X for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $B_\epsilon(F) \cap T^i B_\epsilon(F) = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Define $U = B_\epsilon(F)$. Clearly U is an open n-marker. Now let U be an open n-marker. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be the Lebesgue number for the covering $\{T^i(U)\}_{i=1}^m$, i.e. for every $x \in X$, there exists $1 \leq i \leq m$ so that $B_\epsilon(x) \subset T^i(U)$. Let $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ be so that $B_{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(T^i U) \subset T^i \overline{B}_{-\delta}(U)$ for $0 \leq i < m$. Note that for every $x \in X$, there exists $1 \leq i \leq m$ so that $x \in B_{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(T^i U)$. Define $F = \overline{B}_{-\delta}(U)$. Clearly F is a closed n-marker. $\square$

Lemma A.2. *For any $K \subset (X \times X) \backslash \Delta$ compact, D_K is open in $C(X, [0,1]^d)$.*

Proof. We repeat the argument of Lemma III.4 on p.21 of [Jaw74]. Fix $f \in D_K$. Define $\alpha : K \to [0,1]$ given by $\alpha(x,y) \triangleq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} ||f(T^n x) - f(T^n y)||_\infty$. Notice that $\alpha_{|K} > 0$ and that α is lower semicontinuous, i.e. for any $a > 0$ $\{(x,y) \in K | \, \alpha(x,y) \leq a\}$ is closed. The latter implies α attains a minimum ϵ on K and the former implies $\epsilon > 0$. Conclude that for $g \in C(X, [0,1]^d)$, with $||g - f||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$, one has $g \in D_K$ as $||g(T^n x) - g(T^n y)||_\infty \geq ||f(T^n x) - f(T^n y)||_\infty - \frac{2\epsilon}{3} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ for any $(x,y) \in K$. $\square$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall we denote $\mathbb{Z}_N =(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, \oplus)$.

Lemma A.3. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$ with $0 \in A$ so that $|A| \geq \lceil \frac{N}{3} \rceil$ and $(y \oplus A) \cap A = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Start by defining $A = \{0\}$. Note $(y \oplus A) \cap A = \emptyset$. Let $|A'| < \lceil \frac{N}{3} \rceil$ with $(y \oplus A') \cap A' = \emptyset$. Define $B = A' \cup (y \oplus A) \cup (-y \oplus A)$. Note $|B| < N$. Choose $a \in \mathbb{Z}_N \setminus B$. Redefine $A = A' \cup \{a\}$ and note $(y \oplus A) \cap A = \emptyset$. $\square$

Lemma A.4. *Let* $n : X \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a function* $x \in X$ *and* $M \in \mathbb{N}$, *an even integer. Assume there is at most one index* $-\frac{3}{2}M \leq j \leq \frac{M}{2} - 2$ *for which* $n(T^{j+1}x) \neq n(T^j x) + 1$. Then one can find an index $-\frac{3}{2}M \leq r \leq 0$ so that $\lfloor n(T^r x) \rfloor \mod M \leq \frac{M}{2}$ and for $r \leq s \leq r + \frac{M}{2} - 1$:

$$\lceil n(T^s x) \rceil \mod M = \lceil n(T^r x) \rceil \mod M + s - r \tag{A.1}$$

$$\lfloor n(T^s x) \rfloor \mod M = \lfloor n(T^r x) \rfloor \mod M + s - r \tag{A.2}$$

Proof. As the segment $-\frac{3}{2}M \leq j \leq \frac{M}{2} - 2$ has total length $M + (M-1)$, one of the intervals $\{-\frac{3}{2}M, -\frac{3}{2}M + 1, \ldots, j\}$,$\{j+1, \ldots, \frac{M}{2} - 2\}$ is at least of length M. Denote this interval by $\{a, \ldots b\}$ $(b - a \geq M - 1)$. Note $n(T^s x) \mod M = n(T^a x) + s - a \mod M$ for $a \leq s \leq b$. Conclude there is $a \leq k \leq b$ so that $n(T^k x) \mod M \in [0, 1)$. If $b - k + 1 \geq \frac{M}{2}$, then let $r = k$. In this case $\lfloor n(T^r x) \rfloor \mod M = 0$ and $n(T^s x_i) \mod M \in [0, 1 + s - r) \subset [0, M - 1)$ for $r \leq s \leq r + \frac{M}{2} - 1$. If $b - k + 1 < \frac{M}{2}$, then $k - a \geq b - a + 2 - \frac{M}{2}$ which implies $\frac{M}{2} + 1 \leq k - a$ and we set $r = k - \frac{M}{2} - 1 \geq a$. In this case $\lfloor n(T^r x) \rfloor \mod M \in [\frac{M}{2} - 1, \frac{M}{2})$and $n(T^s x_i) \mod M \in [\frac{M}{2} - 1, \frac{M}{2} + r - s) \subset [\frac{M}{2} - 1, M - 1)$ for $r \leq s \leq r + \frac{M}{2} - 1$. In both cases we see that (A.1) and (A.2) hold. This also implies $r \leq -1$ as $r + \frac{M}{2} - 1 \leq \frac{M}{2} - 2$. □

Lemma A.5. *Let* $B \subset X$ *be a closed set and* $\epsilon > 0$. *Let* $f' : B \to [0,1]^d$ *and* $\tilde{f} : X \to [0,1]^d$ *be continuous functions so that* $||\tilde{f}_{|B} - f'||_{B,\infty} < \epsilon$. *Then there exists a continuous function* $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ *so that* $f_{|B} = f'$ *and* $||\tilde{f} - f||_\infty < \epsilon$.

Proof. Apply the Tietze Extension Theorem ([Mun75], Theorem 3.2) to $\tilde{f}_{|B} - f' : B \to [-\epsilon', \epsilon']^d$ for some $\epsilon > \epsilon' > 0$, to find a continuous function $g : X \to [-\epsilon', \epsilon']^d$ so that $g_{|B} = f' - \tilde{f}_{|B}$, i.e. on B, $f' = \tilde{f}_{|B} + g$. Let $h : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$

be given by $h(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 1$, $h(t) = t$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $h(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$. Let $\vec{h} : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,1]^d$ be given by $\vec{h}(x_1, \dots, h_d) = (h(x_1), \dots, h(x_d))$. Finally define:

$$f = \vec{h} \circ (\tilde{f} + g)$$

Clearly $f \in C(X, [0,1]^d)$. Fix $x \in X$ and $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. If $\tilde{f}(x)|_i + g(x)|_i \in [0,1]$, then $f(x)|_i = \tilde{f}(x)|_i + g(x)|_i$ and $|f(x)|_i - \tilde{f}(x)|_i| \leq \epsilon' < \epsilon$. If $\tilde{f}(x)|_i + g(x)|_i \geq 1$, then $1 + \epsilon' \geq \tilde{f}(x)|_i + g(x)|_i \geq 1 = f(x)|_i$ and we have $|f(x)|_i - \tilde{f}(x)|_i| \leq \epsilon' < \epsilon$. Similarly for the case $\tilde{f}(x)|_i + g(x)|_i \leq 0$. □

Lemma A.6. *Let $m, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear subspace with dim$(V) = r$. If $r + s \leq m$, then almost surely w.r.t Lebesgue measure for $(v_{r+1}, v_2, \dots, v_{r+s}) \in ([0,1]^m)^s$, dim$(V + span((v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \dots, v_{r+s})) = r + s$.*

Proof. By induction. Assume that almost surely in $(v_{r+1}, \dots v_i) \in ([0,1]^m)^i$, $i < m$, one has *dim*$(V_i) = i$ for $V_i = V + span(v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \dots, v_i)$. For any $v_{i+1} \notin V_i \cap [0,1]^m$, one has *dim*$(V + span(v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \dots, v_{i+1})) = i+1$. Note $\mu(V_i \cap [0,1]^m) = 0$ for μ the Lebesgue measure in $[0,1]^m$. The result now follows from Fubini's Theorem. □

Definition A.7. $v_1, \dots v_l \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are called **affinely independent** if for any $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, l$ with $\Sigma_{i=1}^l \lambda_i v_i = 0$, the equality $\Sigma_{i=1}^l \lambda_i = 0$ implies $\lambda_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, l$. This is equivalent to the requirement that $v_2 - v_1, v_3 - v_1, \dots v_l - v_1$ are linearly independent.

Lemma A.8. *Let $m, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $V = span(v_1, \dots, v_r) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear subspace with dim$(V) = r$. If $r + s \leq m + 1$, then almost surely w.r.t Lebesgue measure for $(v_{r+1}, v_2, \dots, v_{r+s}) \in ([0,1]^m)^s$, $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r+s}$ are affinely independent.*

Proof. By Lemma A.6, if $r+s \leq m$ then almost surely in $(v_{r+1}, v_2, \ldots, v_{r+s}) \in ([0,1]^m)^s$, $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{r+s}$ are linearly independent, a fortiori affinely independent. We now consider the case $r+s = m+1$. Using the previous case, almost surely for $(v_{r+1}, v_2, \ldots, v_m) \in ([0,1]^m)^{m-r}$, $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m$ are linearly independent. Let $V = span(v_2 - v_1, \ldots, v_m - v_1)$. Note that almost surely $dim(V) = m-1$, which implies $\mu(V \cap [0,1]^m) = 0$ for μ the Lebesgue measure in $[0,1]^m$. Therefore almost surely for $v'_{m+1} \in [0,1]^m$, $dim(V + span(v'_{m+1})) = m$. Define $v_{m+1} = v'_{m+1} + v_1$. Conclude that almost surely $span(v_2 - v_1, \ldots, v_m - v_1, v_{m+1} - v_1) = m$. The result now follows from Fubini's Theorem. □

Lemma A.9. *Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $n_1, n_2, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_1 \geq n_2$. Let $R_1, R_2 \subset X$ be closed sets so that $R_1 \cap R_2 = \emptyset$. For $i = 1,2$, let α_i be open covers of R_i with $ord(\alpha_i) < \frac{n_i d}{2}$. Assume $\{q_U\}_{U \in \alpha_i}$ is a collection of distinct points in X and $\tilde{v}_U \in ([0,1]^d)^{n_i}$ for every $U \in \alpha_i$, then there exist continuous functions $F_i : R_i \to ([0,1]^d)^{n_i}$, with the following properties ($i = 1,2$):*

1. *$\forall U \in \alpha_i$, $||F_i(q_U) - \tilde{v}_U||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$,*
2. *$\forall x \in R_i$, $F_i(x) \in co\{F_i(q_U) | \, x \in U \in \alpha_i\}$,*
3. *If $x' \in R_1$ and $y' \in R_2$ then $F_1(x') \neq (F_2(y'))^{\oplus n_1}$.*

Proof. Note that as α_i are open covers of disjoint sets, then if $U \in \alpha_1$ and $V \in \alpha_2$, then $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Let $\{\psi_U\}_{U \in \alpha_i}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to α_i so that $\psi_U(q_U) = 1$. Let $\vec{v}_U \in ([0,1]^d)^{n_1}$, $U \in \alpha_1$ be vectors that will be specified later. Let $\vec{v}_U = \tilde{v}_U$, $U \in \alpha_2$. Define $F_i : R_i \to ([0,1]^d)^{n_i}$ by:

$$F_i(x) = \sum_{U \in \alpha_i} \psi_U(x) \vec{v}_U$$

For $x \in R_i$ define $\alpha_{i,x} = \{U \in \alpha_i | \, \psi_U(x) > 0\}$. Write (3) explicitly as:

$$\sum_{U\in\alpha_{1,x'}}\psi_U(x')\vec{v}_U \neq \sum_{U\in\alpha_{2,y'}}\psi_U(y')(\vec{v}_U)^{\oplus n_1} \tag{A.3}$$

The left-hand side (right-hand side) of (A.3) is a convex combination of at most $ord(\alpha_1)+1$ ($ord(\alpha_2)+1$) vectors. Therefore the total number of vectors is bounded by $|\alpha_{1,x'}\cup\alpha_{2,y'}| \leq \frac{n_1 d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+1+\frac{n_2 d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+1 \leq n_1 d+1$. Denote $V_2 = span((\vec{v}_U)^{\oplus n_1}\,|\,U\in\alpha_{2,y'})$ and note $dim(V_2)\leq|\alpha_{2,y'}|\leq\frac{n_2 d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}$. We distinguish between two cases. If $dim(V_2)=\frac{n_2 d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}$ then $\{(\vec{v}_U)^{\oplus n_1}\,|\,U\in\alpha_{2,y'}\}$ are linearly independent and we can invoke Lemma A.8, to conclude that almost surely in $(\vec{v}_U)_{U\in\alpha_{1,x'}}\in([0,1]^{dn_1})^{|\alpha_{1,x'}|}$, $\{\vec{v}_U\}_{U\in\alpha_{1,x'}}\cup\{(\vec{v}_U)^{\oplus n_1}\}_{U\in\alpha_{2,y'}}$ are affinely independent. This implies (A.3) holds almost surely as the sum of coefficients $\sum_{U\in\alpha_{1,x'}}\psi_U(x')-\sum_{U\in\alpha_{2,y'}}\psi_U(y')=0$ but all coefficients are positive (see Definition A.7). If $dim(V_2)<\frac{n_2 d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}$, then by Lemma A.6 almost surely in $(\vec{v}_U)_{U\in\alpha_{1,x'}}\in([0,1]^{dn_1})^{|\alpha_{1,x'}|}$, $span(\vec{v}_U)_{U\in\alpha_{1,x'}}$ and $span((\vec{v}_U)^{\oplus n_1}\,|\,U\in\alpha_{2,y'})$ are complementary linear subspaces and $\{\vec{v}_U\}_{U\in\alpha_{1,x'}}$ are linearly independent. This implies (A.3) holds almost surely. Indeed notice that the null vector $\vec{0}$ is the only vector which belongs to both subspaces. As $\{\vec{v}_U\}_{U\in\alpha_{1,x'}}$ are linearly independent and $\psi_U(x')>0$ for all $U\in\alpha_{1,x'}$ the linear combination on the left hand side does not equal the null vector. As there is a finite number of constraints of the form (A.3), we can therefore choose $\vec{v}_U\in([0,1]^d)^{n_1}$, $U\in\alpha_1$ so that both property (1) and property (3) hold. Finally property (2) holds trivially as $F_i(q_U)=\vec{v}_U$.

□

Lemma A.10. *Let* $\epsilon>0$. *Let* $n,l,d\in\mathbb{N}$ *with* $1\leq l\leq n-1$. *Let* $R\subset X$ *be a closed set so that* $R\cap T^iR=\emptyset$ *for* $i=1,\dots,n-1$. *Let* α *be an open cover of* R *with* $ord(\alpha)<\frac{nd}{2}$. *Assume* $\{q_U\}_{U\in\alpha}$ *is a collection of distinct points in* X *and* $\tilde{v}_U\in([0,1]^d)^n$ *for every* $U\in\alpha$, *then there exists a continuous function* $F:R\to([0,1]^d)^n$, *with the following properties:*

(1) $\forall U \in \alpha$, $||F(q_U) - \tilde{v}_U||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$,

(2) $\forall x \in X$, $F(x) \in co\{F(q_U) |\, x \in U \in \alpha\}$,

(3) If $x', y' \in R$ then $F(x') \neq (F(y'))^{\bullet l}$.

Proof. Let $\{\psi_U\}_{U\in\alpha}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to α so that $\psi_U(q_U) = 1$. Let $\vec{v}_U \in ([0,1]^d)^n$, $U \in \alpha$ be vectors that will be specified later. Define:

$$F(x) = \sum_{U\in\alpha} \psi_U(x)\vec{v}_U$$

For $x \in R$ define $\alpha_x = \{U \in \alpha |\, \psi_U(x) > 0\}$. Considering $\vec{v}_U$ as vectors in $\in [0,1]^{dn}$, write (3) explicitly as ($\oplus$ denotes addition modulo nd) for $S = \{0, 1, \ldots nd - 1\}$:

$$\sum_{U\in\alpha_{x'}} \psi_U(x')\vec{v}_U|_i - \sum_{U\in\alpha_{y'}} \psi_U(y')\vec{v}_V|_{i\oplus dl} \neq 0,\ i \in S \tag{A.4}$$

Consider this inequality as a symbolic equality in the (vector) symbols $\vec{v}_U$, i.e., let $\alpha_{x'} = \{U_1^1, \ldots, U_{m_1}^1\}$ and $\alpha_{y'} = \{U_1^2, \ldots, U_{m_2}^2\}$ with $m_1, m_2 \leq ord(\alpha) + 1$, and represent $\vec{v}_{U_i^j}$ as a vector composed of nd symbols: $\vec{v}_{U_i^j} = (v_{U_i^j}^{k,g})_{k\in\{1,\ldots,d\},\, g\in\{1,\ldots,n\}}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the matrix constituting of all different (symbolic) vectors appearing in (A.4).

$$\mathcal{M} = \left[\vec{v}_{U_1^1}|_S, \cdots, \vec{v}_{U_{m_1}^1}|_S, \vec{v}_{U_1^2}|_{S\oplus dl}, \cdots, \vec{v}_{U_{m_2}^2}|_{S\oplus dl}\right]$$

The matrix has nd rows. The number of columns of the matrix is bounded by $2(ord(\alpha) + 1) \leq 2(\frac{nd}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + 1) = nd + 1$. A specific substitution of values into the symbolic vectors will be called a *realization*. Note that each column and row contain distinct elements. If the number of columns is $\leq nd$ we can use Lemma 5.5 of [Lin99] to conclude that for almost all (w.r.t. Lebesgue measure) realizations $\mathcal{M}$ consists of linearly independent vectors. Any such realization which verifies property (1), gives rise to a continuous function

$F : R \to ([0,1]^d)^n$ which also verifies property (3) as well as property (2) as $F(q_U) = \vec{v}_U$.

We now treat the case when there are exactly $nd+1$ vectors in $\mathcal{M}$. Note that $nd+1 = 2(ord(\alpha)+1)$ is even. The proof will be achieved by induction on k for the following statement: Given $2k$ symbolic vectors of length $2k-1$ in a $(2k-1)\times 2k$ matrix $\mathcal{M}_k$ so that the same symbol does not appear twice in any row and in any column and such that the same symbol appears at most twice in $\mathcal{M}_k$, then these $2k$ vectors are almost surely affinely independent. Obviously the case $k = \frac{nd+1}{2}$ gives the desired result. The case $k=1$ is trivial as $\mathcal{M}$ is the 1×2 matrix $[a,b]$ and almost surely in (a,b), $a-b\neq 0$. Assume the result holds for $k-1\geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{M}_k = \left[\vec{v}_1,\cdots,\vec{v}_{2k}\right]$ where $\vec{v}_j = (v_{i,j})_{i=1}^{2k-1}$. There are two cases. In the first case every symbol in $\mathcal{M}_k$ appears exactly once in $\mathcal{M}_k$. By Lemma A.8 (with $V=\emptyset$) almost surely $\mathcal{M}_k$ consists of affinely independent vectors. In the second case there is some $v_{s,t}$ which appears exactly twice in $\mathcal{M}_k$, $v_{s,t} = v_{s',t'}$ for $s\neq s'$ and $t\neq t'$. Let $j\neq t,t'$ (recall $k\geq 2$ so there are at least 4 columns). By rearranging the vectors one can assume w.l.o.g the $j=2k$ (the index of the last column vector). Consider $\mathcal{N}_k$ the (symbolic) $(2k-1)\times(2k-1)$ square matrix, obtained by subtracting the last column vector (which does not contain $v_{s,t}=v_{s',t'}$) from each column, and by erasing the last column vector:

$$\mathcal{N}_k \triangleq \left[\vec{v}_1-\vec{v}_{2k},\cdots,\vec{v}_{2k-1}-\vec{v}_{2k}\right]$$

It is enough to show that almost surely $det(\mathcal{N}_j)\neq 0$. Denote by $P = \{v_{i,j}\}_{(i,j)\neq(s,t),(s',t')}$ the collection all symbolic elements except $v_{s,t}=v_{s',t'}$. Note:

$$det(\mathcal{N}_k) = \pm D((s,t),(s',t'))v_{s,t}^2 + f_1(P)v_{s,t} + f_0(P) \tag{A.5}$$

where $D((s,t),(s',t'))$ is the determinant of the $(2k-3)\times(2k-3)$ minor $\mathcal{N}_{k-1}$ obtained from $\mathcal{N}_k$ by erasing rows s and s' and columns t and t' and f_1, f_0 are some functions. Let $\vec{v}^{k-1}_{2k-2} \triangleq (\vec{v}_{2k}|_i)_{i\neq s,s'}$. Notice $\vec{v}^{k-1}_{2k-2}$ is of length $2k-3$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{k-1}$ be the $(2(k-1)-1)\times(2(k-1))$ matrix obtained by appending $\vec{v}^{k-1}_{2k-2}$ to $\mathcal{N}_{k-1}$ as last column vector and adding $\vec{v}^{k-1}_{2k-2}$ to each column (the inverse of the operation performed on $\mathcal{M}_k$ to obtain $\mathcal{N}_k$). $\mathcal{M}_{k-1}$ can also be obtained from $\mathcal{M}_k$ by erasing rows s, s' and columns t, t'. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{M}_{k-1}$ has the property that the same symbol does not appear twice in any row and in any column and such that the same symbol appears at most twice in $\mathcal{M}_{k-1}$. Also note that the symbol $v_{s,t}$ does not appear in $\mathcal{M}_{k-1}$. We conclude by the induction assumption that almost surely $\mathcal{M}_{k-1}$ consists of $2(k-1)$ affinely independent column vectors of length $2(k-1)-1$. This implies that $det(\mathcal{N}_{k-1})\neq 0$ almost surely in P. By (A.5) almost surely in $P\cup\{v_{s,t}\}$, $det(\mathcal{N}_k)\neq 0$.

□

The following Lemma is closely related to Lemma 6.5 of [Lin99] and is borrowed from [Gut12].

Lemma A.11. *Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $N, n, d, S \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N > 2S$. Let γ be an open cover of X with $ord(\gamma) + 1 \leq (S - \frac{n}{2})d$. Assume $\{q_U\}_{U\in\gamma}$ is a collection of distinct points in X and $\tilde{v}_U \in ([0,1]^d)^N$ for every $U \in \gamma$, then there exists a continuous function $F : X \to ([0,1]^d)^N$, with the following properties:*

1. *$\forall U \in \gamma$, $||F(q_U) - \tilde{v}_U||_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$,*
2. *$\forall x \in X$, $F(x) \in co\{F(q_U)|\, x \in U \in \gamma\}$,*
3. *For any $0 \leq l < N - 2S$, and $\lambda \in (0,1]$ and $x_0, x_1 \in X$ with $d(x_0,x_1) > \max_{W\in\gamma} diam(W)$ it holds:*

$$(1-\lambda)F(x_0)|_l^{l+2S-1} + \lambda F(x_1)|_{l+1}^{l+2S} \notin V^n_{2S} \tag{A.6}$$

where $V_{2S}^n \triangleq \{y = (y_0, \ldots, y_{2S-1}) \in ([0,1]^d)^{2S} | \quad \forall 0 \leq a, b \leq 2S-1, \ (a = b \mod n) \to y_a = y_b\}$.

Proof. Let $\{\psi_U\}_{U\in\gamma}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to γ so that $\psi_U(q_U) = 1$. Let $\vec{v}_U \in ([0,1]^d)^N$, $U \in \gamma$ be vectors that will be specified later. Define:

$$F(x) = \sum_{U\in\gamma} \psi_U(x)\vec{v}_U$$

For $x \in X$ define $\gamma_x = \{U \in \gamma | \, \psi_U(x) > 0\}$. Let $\lambda_0 = 1 - \lambda$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda$. Write (A.6) explicitly as:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{1} \sum_{U\in\gamma_{x_j}} \lambda_j \psi_U(x_j)\vec{v}_U|_{l+j}^{l+2S-1+j} \notin V_{2S}^n \tag{A.7}$$

Note that $dim(V_{2S}^n) = nd$ and the left-hand side of (A.7) is a convex combination of at most $2(ord(\gamma)+1)$ vectors. Note $d(x_1, x_2) > \max_{W\in\gamma} diam(W)$ implies $\gamma_{x_1} \cap \gamma_{x_2} = \emptyset$. As $2(ord(\gamma)+1) + nd \leq 2Sd$ then by Lemma A.6, almost surely in $\left([0,1]^d)^{2S}\right)^{2(ord(\gamma)+1)}$, (A.7) holds. As there is a finite number of constraints of the form (A.7), we can therefore choose $\vec{v}_U \in ([0,1]^d)^N$, $U \in \gamma$ so that property (1) holds. Finally property (2) holds trivially as $F(q_U) = \vec{v}_U$.

□

References

[Aus88] Joseph Auslander. *Minimal flows and their extensions*, volume 153 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1988. Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 122.

[BC04] Christian Bonatti and Sylvain Crovisier. Récurrence et généricité. *Invent. Math.*, 158(1):33–104, 2004.

[Coo05] Michel Coornaert. *Dimension topologique et systèmes dynamiques*, volume 14 of *Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2005.

[Dow06] Tomasz Downarowicz. Minimal models for noninvertible and not uniquely ergodic systems. *Israel J. Math.*, 156:93–110, 2006.

[Flo35] A. Flores. Über n-dimensionale Komplexe, die im $\mathbb{R}_{2n+1}$ absolut selbstverschlungen sind. *Erg. Math. Kolloqu.*, 6:4–7, 1935.

[Gro99] Misha Gromov. Topological invariants of dynamical systems and spaces of holomorphic maps. I. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 2(4):323–415, 1999.

[GT12] Yonatan Gutman and Masaki Tsukamoto. Mean dimension and a sharp embedding theorem: extensions of aperiodic subshifts. Preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3906, 2012.

[Gut11] Yonatan Gutman. Embedding $\mathbb{Z}^k$-actions in cubical shifts and $\mathbb{Z}^k$-symbolic extensions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 31(2):383–403, 2011.

[Gut12] Yonatan Gutman. Dynamical embedding in cubical shifts and the topological Rokhlin and small boundary properties. Preprint, 2012.

[HW41] Witold Hurewicz and Henry Wallman. *Dimension Theory.* Princeton Mathematical Series, v. 4. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1941.

[Jaw74] A. Jaworski. *The Kakutani-Beboutov theorem for groups.* Ph.D. dissertation. University of Maryland, 1974.

[Kri70] Wolfgang Krieger. On entropy and generators of measure-preserving transformations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 149:453–464, 1970.

[Kri82] Wolfgang Krieger. On the subsystems of topological Markov chains. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 2(2):195–202 (1983), 1982.

[Kul95] John Kulesza. Zero-dimensional covers of finite-dimensional dynamical systems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 15(5):939–950, 1995.

[Lin95] Elon Lindenstrauss. Lowering topological entropy. *J. Anal. Math.*, 67:231–267, 1995.

[Lin99] Elon Lindenstrauss. Mean dimension, small entropy factors and an embedding theorem. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 89(1):227–262 (2000), 1999.

[LT12] Elon Lindenstrauss and Masaki Tsukamoto. Mean dimension and an embedding problem: an example. Preprint, 2012.

[LW00] Elon Lindenstrauss and Benjamin Weiss. Mean topological dimension. *Israel J. Math.*, 115:1–24, 2000.

[Mun75] James R. Munkres. *Topology: a first course.* Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1975.

Yonatan Gutman, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland.

E-mail address: `y.gutman@impan.pl`